

This is a working paper, intended for publication in due course in an article collection. Alaric would appreciate any comments you may have about it! If the paper's accepted, this text will be replaced with a preprint at the same URL and elsewhere. Full publication details, when available, will be found via <http://www.alarichall.org.uk>.

* * *

A gente Anglorum appellatur: The evidence of Bede's *Historia ecclesiastica gentis Anglorum* for the replacement of Roman names by English ones during the early Anglo-Saxon period.

Infamously, contact between English and the languages of late Roman Britain—Brittonic and Latin—had a small impact on the language, with the number of early Brittonic and Latin loan-words in English being small (Wollmann 1990; Coates 2007: 177–81). The lack of contact influence from Brittonic and Latin on the attested Old English lexicon is not now seen as evidence for a lack of cultural contact: a number of studies have argued that we need not expect much substrate lexical influence on a superstrate language (see generally Coates 2007 and von Tristram, ed., 1997–2003). Contact varieties did surely emerge as English expanded in Britain, but it is easy to suppose that were assimilated to more conservative, prestigious varieties long before they had any chance to appear in the textual record—we perhaps even have a hint of such a process in the Brittonic loan-words *luh* ('pool') and perhaps *carr* ('rock'), attested outside place-names only in Northumbrian Old English (Bosworth and Toller 1898: s.v. *luh*; Cameron et al. 2007: s.v. *carr*), and subsequently displaced by etymologically Germanic words such as *brim* and *stan*. My concern here, then, is with a more problematic section of the Old English lexicon: place-names. The lexicon of Anglo-Saxon place-names underwent much the same shift as the common lexicon, being dominated by words which can be etymologised as English. Unlike the common lexicon, however, this shift is one for which we are still short of models.

The prevailing assumption among archaeologists and, latterly, historians, is that migration to Britain by Germanic-speakers is entirely insufficient to explain the spread of English following the collapse of Roman rule in the region: it is simply too hard to envisage enough people crossing the North Sea to explain the substantial continuity evident in farming and settlement (see Higham 2007) and in the genetic make-up of Britain's populace (see Richards, Capelli and Wilson 2008). However, as toponymists have recently emphasised, the fact that the vast majority of place-names in England are etymologically English has yet to be convincingly accounted for by other models (Coates 2007; Padel 2007). A spate of new work has begun to remedy this. In some areas, significantly more p-Celtic names have survived than was once recognised (Fox 2007; Coates and Breeze 2000; Breeze 2007a)—enough to make a significant difference to our perception of a few regions, albeit not enough to revolutionise our understanding. Extensive translation, or folk-etymologisation, of Brittonic place-names into English has long been mooted, though hard evidence is thin on the ground (Smith 1980; Higham 1992: 202–3; Hough 2004; Fox 2007: §23). Renaming could take place despite demographic continuity: unstable settlement-patterns might have had produced an unstable onomasticon, while the eleventh-century expansion of English rule into Wales presents at least one area which saw wholesale renaming of places into English despite stability of settlement and the majority of free households remaining ethnically Welsh (Lewis 2007: 134–36), which could offer a model for earlier processes.

Here, however, I explore another model (not mutually exclusive of the others mentioned): the idea that place-names in large parts of Britain shifted only gradually to English, but that the shift occurred largely before the time of our earliest documentation (cf. Higham 1992: 200, building on Cox 1975–76: 55–57; Baker 2006: 178, 183; Probert 2007: 232–33). By definition, this theory is hard to substantiate, but I suggest that some slight support is afforded by Bede's *Historia ecclesiastica gentis Anglorum*, completed around 731 (quotations from Colgrave and Mynors

1991). Bede's place-naming as a whole has received little study since a burst of energy in the late nineteen-seventies, when a clutch of studies emphasised between them the sophistication of Bede's Latin toponymic vocabulary, its correlations with Old English toponymic vocabulary, and some small but important hints that Bede had more access to Roman names than had been realised and that he was sensitive to historic Roman associations for places (Cox 1975–76; Smith 1979; Campbell 1979; Smith 1980; cf. Hall 2010: 50–51).

A priori, we might expect the replacement of one place-name with another to begin with the introduction of a variant name; this would then compete with the older name until eventually the new one became dominant. Even if the old name was in one language (in this case Latin or Brittonic) and the new name in another (in this case Old English), it would be startling if speakers of the incoming language coined a new name for a place before they had so much as heard the local name—and accordingly, the desire to imagine in what circumstances people might fail entirely to adopt local place-names partly underlies the old preference for the 'fire and sword' model for explaining the dominance of etymologically English place-names. However, Bede does offer one clear example of variation between old and new names in his mention of Roman Verulamium (now St Albans): 'ciuitatem Uerolamium, quae nunc a gente Anglorum Uerlamacaestir siue Uaeclingacaestir appellatur' ('city of Verulamium, which is now called *Uerlamacaestir* or *Uaeclingacaestir* by the English', i. 7). Quite how Bede knew the Roman name of Verulamium is unclear: Rivet and Smith suggested the rather vague agency of 'ecclesiastical tradition', Gildas's mention of St Alban as 'Verolamiensem' being a known datum point (1979: 498). At any rate, Bede's text shows that the Roman name *Verulamium* had been adopted as the basis of an Old English name *Uerlamacaestir*, through compounding with the generic element *ceaster* 'old Roman fortification'. The form is attested again as *Verlamcestre* in the tenth-century *Verlamcestre gesta*. However, Bede also shows that Verulamium had also acquired another Old English name, *Uaeclingacaestir*, attested later in the Anglo-Saxon period as *Wætingaceaster* (Gover, Mawer and Stenton 1938: 86–87; Coates 2005: 169). The origin of this name is problematic and by 1007 it was in any case being supplanted by yet another name, St Albans (cf. Watts 2004: s.v.). What is important here, however, is that Bede's evidence demonstrates that Verulamium's Roman name was not simply erased by a new English name, as we might otherwise have supposed: the Roman name was adopted as *Uerlamacaestir*, but supplanted by a competing form (*Uaeclingacaestir*). Although this process resulted in discontinuity of names, it indicates that the discontinuity did not come with the arrival of English as the dominant language in the region: Roman names might have been perpetuated in Anglo-Saxon culture, with their subsequent replacement reflecting gradual (and perhaps endemic) toponymic change rather than a failure to borrow names at all.

Without other evidence, however, one would hesitate to consider *Uerolamium*~*Uerlamacaestir*~*Uaeclingacaestir* a paradigmatic case, not least because, according to Coates (2005), *Verulamium* was transmitted to Anglo-Saxons directly from British Latin, without the intermediation of Brittonic, which is unusual, and because of the exceptional longevity of Roman-style life there (Baker 2006: 25–31). What I suggest here is that Bede does provide, indirectly, a little more evidence for such processes of variation and change. *Uerolamium* is one of six occasions in the *Historia ecclesiastica* when Bede gives English equivalents for Roman Latin place-names, the other five being:

ciuitas quae dicitur Rutubi portus, a gente Anglorum nunc corrupte Reptacaestir uocata (i.1)

the city which is called *Rutubi portus*, now by corruption called *Reptacaestir* [Richborough] by the people of the English

ad Ciuitatem Legionum, quae a gente Anglorum Legacaestir, a Brettonibus autem rectius Carlegion appellatur (ii.2)

to the City of Legions, which is called *Legacæstir* [Chester] by the people of the English, but more correctly *Carlegion* by the Britons

in ciuitate Dorubreui, quam gens Anglorum a primario quondam illius, qui dicebatur Hrof, Hrofaescaestrae cognominat (ii.3)

in the city of Dorubreuis, which the people of the English call *Hrofaescaestrae* [Rochester] after a one-time leader of theirs who was named Hrof

in ciuitate Uenta, quae a gente Saxonum Uintancaestir appellatur (iii.7; cf. iii.23, iv.15, v.23)

in the city of Uenta, which is called *Uintancaestir* [Winchester] by the people of the Saxons

ad ciuitatem Calcariam, quae a gente Anglorum Kaelcacaestir appellatur (iv.23)

to the city of Calcaria, which is called *Kaelcacaestir* [Tadcaster] by the people of the English

To these we might add Bede's reference to Carlisle in chapter 27 of his earlier prose *Vita Cuthberti*, mentioned in the *Historia ecclesiastica* only by its Roman name *Lugubalia* (iv.29): 'ad Lugubaliam, quae a populis Anglorum corrupte Luel vocatur' ('to Lugubalia, which is, by corruption, called *Luel* by the people of the English'; Colgrave 1940: 242). The form *Luel* is also found in Bede's written source, the anonymous *Vita Cuthberti*, but *Lugubalia* is not (Colgrave 1940: 117, 122 [iv.5, iv.8]).

Bede consistently introduces the vernacular forms of these Roman names by the formula *a gente Anglorum appellatur* ('called by the people of the English') and close variants. This formula is used only of these names. The names themselves are also connected by the fact that in each case, the Old English name is, as with *Uerolamium~Uerlamacæstir*, a reflex of the Roman name.

The names just listed contrast with a second group of names, which are introduced with another formula, *lingua Anglorum appellatur/uocatur* ('called in the language of the English'). These are of more diverse etymologies; I present them in four groups which make it easier to follow my interpretations of the attestations below: /

1.
in loco ubi usque hodie lingua Anglorum Augustinaes Ác, id est Robur Augustini ... appellatur (ii.2)

in the place which to the present day is called *Augustinaes Ác*, that is Augustine's oak, in the language of the English

Vocatur locus ille lingua Anglorum Hefenfeld, quod dici potest latine Caelestis Campus (iii.2)

In the language of the English, that place is called *Hefenfeld*, which can be called heavenly field in Latin

in castro quodam quod lingua Anglorum Cnobheresburg, id est Vrbs Cnobheri, uocatur (iii.19)

in a certain fort, which is called *Cnobheresburg* in English, that is the citadel of Cnobherus

2.

in loco qui sermone Pictorum Peanfahel, lingua autem Anglorum Penneltun appellatur (i.12)

in a place which in the language of the Picts is called *Peanfahel*, but in the language of the English *Penneltun*

monasterio quod uocatur lingua Anglorum Bancornaburg ... de monasterio Bancor' (ii.2)

a monastery which in the language of the English is called *Bancornaburg* [Bangor Iscoed]

3.

in loco, qui lingua Anglorum Denisesburna, id est Riuus Denisi, uocatur (iii.1)

in a place which in the language of the English is called *Denisesburna* [Rowley Burn], that is the stream of Denisu

in loco qui lingua Anglorum nuncupatur Maserfelth (iii.9)

in a place which in the language of the English is called *Maserfelth*

4.

iuxta ciuitatem quae lingua Anglorum Tiouulfingacaestir uocatur (ii.16)

alongside the city which in the language of the English is called *Tiouulfingacaestir* [Littleborough]

in ciuitate quae lingua Saxonum Ythancaestir appellatur (iii.22)

in the city which in the language of the Saxons is called *Ythancaestir* [Bradwell-on-Sea]

ad ciuitatulam quandam desolatam ... quae lingua Anglorum Grantacaestir uocatur (iv.19)

to a certain small, deserted town ... which in the language of the English is called *Grantacaestir* [Cambridge]

One might suggest simply that the fact that the *Historia ecclesiastica* is in Latin, but contained non-Latin names, is sufficient motivation for Bede to specify that a name was *lingua Anglorum*. A desire to mark code-switching is surely the reason for stating that the Irish place-names *Rathmelsigi* and *Mag éo~Muig éo* (iii.27; iv.4) are *lingua Scottorum*, and this is presumably true also of Theodore's record of the Synod of Hatfield, quoted by Bede, where we find the phrase 'in loco, qui Saxonico uocabulo Haethfelth nominatur' ('in the place which is called by the Saxon word *Haethfelth*'; iv.17).¹ But Bede's text is full of English place-names, and unsurprisingly he did not

¹ *Contra* Blair 1937: 224 (and, tentatively following him, Baker 2006: 247), who suggested that the name might be evidence for an unstated Brittonic doublet, which is how I read group 3.

normally pause to specify that a name was English, so there are probably special reasons for doing so in most if not all of the cases just listed. In group 1, the simplest explanation is that Bede specified that the names were *lingua Anglorum* because he then went on to gloss the vernacular name in Latin, using the phrase to signal the switch between English name and Latin gloss.² Group 2 comprises the two occasions in the *Historia ecclesiastica* on which Bede explicitly gives an English alternative to a Celtic name: here he clearly used the phrase *lingua Anglorum* to indicate that the places were also known by a name in another language. Reasons for using the formula are less obvious for groups 3 and 4, however. Here Bede neither states alternative place-names nor mentions non-English names. Nevertheless, I suggest (with Baker 2006: 247) that he specified the names to be English because they were known to have or to have had non-English names. In the case of group 3, the alternative names would presumably have been Brittonic, and the evidence is merely conjectural: for this reason, I leave these names aside here.³ In group 4, however, we know that the three places named *lingua Anglorum* had been Roman places, and so did Bede, since he called them *civitates* (or, in the case of Grantacaestir, *civitatula*), a term which Campbell has shown to indicate a Roman heritage in Bede's Latin (1979: esp. 41; cf. Blair 2005: 250–51). We can add that *Tiouulfingacaestir* had had the Roman name *Segelocum*; *Ythancaestir* is an Anglicisation of the Roman name *Othona*; and the story mentioning *Grantacaestir* is about a stone-robbing expedition to the Roman settlement at Cambridge, where the previous existence of a Roman name was self-evident, and the Roman name had been *Duroliponte* (Rivet and Smith 1979: 453, 434–35, 351–52, respectively). It is unfortunately not clear whether Bede knew the Roman names for these places but chose not to state them, or whether he did not know them and merely knew or surmised that they must have existed, but we might at least conclude that he chose to imply that their English names were not their only ones.⁴

What is noteworthy in all this for researching place-name change is that the two formulas used by Bede to specify that a place-name was English do not seem to be deployed simply for stylistic variety. In the first place, the formulas are the only phrases used by Bede to specify that a name was English, and if variety had been Bede's goal, he could have used a variety of alternatives, including phrases along the lines of *Saxonico uocabulo nominatur* used by Theodore and *sermone Scottico*,

-
- 2 Though they are all potentially in variation with non-English names, which is my explanation for groups 2, 3 and 4. *Hefenfeld* lay at the westernmost edge of the earliest traceable phase of Old English Bernician place-names, those in *-ham* and *-ingaham*, to the west of which p-Celtic place-names survive in unusually large numbers (Fox 2007). This perhaps makes it more likely that it should have had a p-Celtic doublet. Indeed, Breeze even suggests that Bede's *caelestis campus* is a British Latin name; either way, his article provides a useful guide to the toponymic problems surrounding *Hefenfeld* (2007b). *Cnobheresburg* was a 'castrum' and therefore a Roman fortification; if the usual association with Burgh Castle is right, the Roman name was *Gariannum* (Rivet and Smith 1979: 366; for more recent discussion Pestell 2004: 56–57). The meeting at *Augustinaes Ac* involved people 'proximae Brettonum prouinciae' ('of the nearby province of the Britons') and happened 'in confinio Huicciorum et Occidentalium Saxonum' ('on the border between the Hwicce and the West Saxons'), and thus was at least near to clearly p-Celtic-speaking areas. If the name is to be identified with Aust, which is admittedly unlikely, it is worth noting that Aust is non-English in etymology (Rivet and Smith 1979: 510–11; Watts 2004: s.v.).
- 3 *Densisesburna* probably preserves a p-Celtic first element (Cox 1975–76: 44) and seems, like *Hefenfeld*, to have lain on the western edge of the earliest traceable Old English place-names in Bernicia; that said, however, a p-Celtic etymology is not clear from Bede's own interpretation of the name as *riuus Denisi* ('Dennis's stream'). *Maserfelth* is of uncertain location (Clarkson 2006); its obscure first element at least suggests non-Anglo-Saxon etymology (as may its last, which occurs as an epexegetic suffix in a number of Old English loan-names; Lewis 2007, 137–40); the *Annales Cambriae* refer to the battle at *Maserfelth* as *Bellum Cocboy*, with a couple of later references in the same vein which seem to be textually independent, showing that there was a Brittonic name for the site in literary tradition at least (Rowland 1990: 124–25).
- 4 Bede seems to have held Roman names in greater esteem than vernacular ones (Hall 2010: 50–51), so if he knew Roman names for these places, we would expect him to give them: indeed, this is supported by my argument here that in specifying the names to be English, Bede was paying due respect to their Roman identity. On the other hand, if Bede guessed that these names had had Roman antecedents simply from the generic element *-caestir*, he did not present such names consistently: he mentioned the now lost name *Tunnacaestir* (specifying that it was named after a monk called Tunna; iv.22) and *Dorciccaestrae* (Dorchester in Oxfordshire, whose first element is surely etymologically a Roman name; iv.23; cf. iii.7; Rivet and Smith 1979: 513; Watts 2004: s.v. *DORCHESTER Oxon.*) without specifying them to be *lingua Anglorum*.

which Bede himself used when talking about the Irish monastery of Inisboufinde (iv.4). Moreover, there is a correlation between the nature of a place-name and the formulaic phrase which Bede used to introduce it:

- When Bede gives an English form for a stated Roman name, he always uses the formula *a gente Anglorum appellatur*, and the English name is invariably derived phonetically from the Roman one.
- When he specifies that the name of a Roman site is *lingua Anglorum*, he does not give a Roman name, and an etymological link is apparent only in the case of *Othona~Ythancaestir*.

This patterning seems to me to be noteworthy, and whether or not the explanation of it in this paper is accepted, I think explanation is required.

Explanation itself is not, however, easy. It seems unlikely that there is a direct causal connection between the formula used by Bede and whether or not he states a Roman name—this would be implausibly arbitrary. Nor, for all Bede's linguistic acuity, is an English place-name's etymological relationship to a Roman name likely to have been his criterion for using the formulae in question: besides the issue of arbitrariness, *Ythancaestir* would in this reading have been mis-categorised, while Bede does not seem to have realised that *Hrofaescaestrae* derived from *Dorubreuis*, since he etymologised its first element as being an English personal name. Moreover, he almost certainly inferred the Latin place-name *Ciuitas Legionum* from the English and Welsh forms rather than actually knowing it.⁵

My best suggestion is rather that Bede tended to associate a English place-name with a whole *gens* (and hence the formula *a gente Anglorum appellatur*) when he thought that anyone in the *gens* might be expected to use it, and merely with a *lingua* (and hence the formula *lingua Anglorum appellatur*) when the place-name existed in the language of the *gens*, but was too little-used for it to be claimed that the whole *gens* used it. The point can conveniently be exemplified from southern Finland, with its two sets of vernacular toponymy, Finnish and Swedish. I might say, regarding Finland's capital city, that 'I went to Helsinki, which the Swedes call *Helsingfors*', since most Swedes might be expected to refer to Helsinki fairly often. But, regarding a small Finnish town, I would say 'I went to Tammissaari, which in Swedish is called *Ekenäs*'; I would not say 'I went to Tammissaari, which the Swedes call *Ekenäs*', because it is unlikely that most Swedes ever refer to it. In this reading, places whose English names were used, in Bede's reckoning, *a gente Anglorum* were well-known places. The fact that such places were usually ones whose Roman names were known to Bede, and invariably ones whose names in English show phonetic continuity with the Roman names, would in this reading correlate with the places' fame.

How does this exploration of Bede's phrasing help us to understand the Roman place-names of Anglo-Saxon England? If my interpretation is correct, it allows us to correlate the borrowing of the names of Roman *civitates* into English during the rise of English in Britain with how well known the places were in Bede's time, the early eighth century. This correlation might elucidate the processes whereby the Roman toponymy of Britain was lost during Anglicisation. One way to explain the correlation would be to argue that only the names of well-known Roman places were borrowed into English during end of Roman culture in England, and that these places either remained well-known into Bede's time or became well-known again, while the names of less major Roman places were never transmitted. However, the example of *Uerolamium~Uerlamacæstir~Uaeclingacæstir* suggests a more elegant explanation. In this interpretation, the names of well-known and obscure Roman places alike were borrowed into English during the Migration Period. These were liable to be superseded over time, but the larger the number of speakers who referred to a place, the less likely it was to change, because an alternative name would have to spread among a larger number of speakers to achieve dominance. In this interpretation, the fame of a place in the eighth century can explain why it still had an old name without us needing to posit continuity in its fame from the early Anglo-Saxon period through to

⁵ See the apparently independent arguments of Smith 1979: 6–7 and Field 1999; and Hall 2010: 68–69.

Bede's own time. Although the evidence remains very slight, the explanatory power of this model for understanding why Bede refers to some places in the way he does encourages the conclusion that the dearth of English place-names deriving from the Romano-British onomasticon does not reflect a complete failure by Anglo-Saxons to borrow these names, but the instability of minor place-names in the early centuries of Anglo-Saxon England.

Works cited

- Baker, J.T. 2006. *Cultural Transition in the Chilterns and Essex Region, 350 AD to 650 AD* [Studies in Regional and Local History 4]. Hatfield: University of Hertfordshire Press.
- Blair, J. 2005. *The Church in Anglo-Saxon Society*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Blair, P.H. 1937. "The Place-Names of Hertfordshire: A Review." *Transactions of the St. Albans and Hertfordshire Architectural and Archaeological Society*: 222–31.
- Breeze, A. 2007a. "Britons in West Derby Hundred, Lancashire." *Northern History* 44: 199–203.
- . 2007b. "Bede's *Hefenfeld* and the campaign of 633." *Northern History* 44: 193–197.
- Bosworth, J. and Toller, T. N. 1898. *An Anglo-Saxon Dictionary*. London: Oxford University Press.
- Cameron, A., Amos, A.C., Healey, A.D., et al. (ed.). 2007. *Dictionary of Old English: A to G online*. Toronto: DOE project.
- Campbell, J. 1979. "Bede's words for places." In *Names, Words, and Graves: Early Medieval Settlement. Lectures Delivered in the University of Leeds, May 1978*, P.H. Sawyer (ed.), 34–54. Leeds: The School of History, University of Leeds.
- Coates, R. 2005. "Verulamium, the Romano-British name of St Albans." *Studia Celtica* 39: 169–176.
- . 2007. "Invisible Britons: The view from linguistics." In *Britons in Anglo-Saxon England*, N. Higham (ed.) [Publications of the Manchester Centre for Anglo-Saxon Studies 7], 172–191. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Coates, R. and Breeze, A. 2000. *Celtic Voices, English Places: Studies of the Celtic Impact on Place-Names in Britain*. Stamford: Tyas.
- Colgrave, B. (ed. and trans.). 1940. *Two 'Lives' of Saint Cuthbert: A Life by an Anonymous Monk of Lindisfarne and Bede's Prose Life*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Colgrave, B. and Mynors, R.A.B. (ed. and trans.). 1991. *Bede's Ecclesiastical History of the English People*, corr. repr. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cox, B. 1975–76. "The place-names of the earliest English records." *Journal of the English Place-Name Society* 8: 12–66
- Field, P.J.C. 1999. "Gildas and the City of the Legions." *The Heroic Age* 1: <http://www.heroicage.org>.
- Fox, B. 2007. "The P-Celtic place-names of north-east England and south-east Scotland." *The Heroic Age* 10: <<http://www.heroicage.org>>.
- Gover, J.E.B., Mawer, A. and Stenton, F.M. 1938. *The Place-Names of Hertfordshire* [English Place-Name Society 15]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Hall, A. 2010. "Interlinguistic communication in Bede's *Historia ecclesiastica gentis Anglorum*." In *Interfaces between Language and Culture in Medieval England: A Festschrift for Matti Kilpiö*, A. Hall, O. Timofeeva, Á. Kiricsi and B. Fox (ed.) [The Northern World 48], 37–80. Leiden: Brill.
- Higham, N. 1992. *Rome, Britain and the Anglo-Saxons*. London: Seaby.
- . 2007. "Britons in Anglo-Saxon England: An introduction." In *Britons in Anglo-Saxon England*, N. Higham (ed.) [Publications of the Manchester Centre for Anglo-Saxon Studies 7], 1–15. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Hough, Carole. 2004. "The (non?)-survival of Romano-British toponymy." *Neuphilologische Mitteilungen* 105: 25–32.
- Lewis, C.P. 2007. "Welsh territories and Welsh identities in late Anglo-Saxon England." In *Britons*

- in Anglo-Saxon England*, N. Higham (ed.) [Publications of the Manchester Centre for Anglo-Saxon Studies 7] (Woodbridge: Boydell, 2008) 130–143
- McConchie, R. 2007a. “Dis-history: Modelling the introduction and diffusion of a borrowed prefix in Middle English.” In *Change in Meaning and the Meaning of Change*. M. Rissanen, M. Hintikka, L. Kahlas-Tarkka and R. McConchie (eds.) [Mémoires de la Société Néophilologique de Helsinki 62], 344–371. Helsinki: Société Néophilologique.
- . 2007b. “Discomfort in Middle English.” In *Studies in Middle English Forms and Meanings*, G. Mazzon (ed.) [Studies in English Medieval Language and Literature 19], 179–189. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- . 2008. “Disseisin: The lexeme and the legal fact in early Middle English.” In *English Historical Linguistics 2006: Selected Papers from the Fourteenth International Conference on English Historical Linguistics (ICEHL 14), Bergamo, 21–25 August 2006. Vol. II: Lexical and Semantic Change*, R. Dury, M. Gotti and M. Dossena (eds), 203–216. Amsterdam: Benjamins, 203–216.
- Padel, O. J. 2007. “Place-names and the Saxon conquest of Devon and Cornwall.” In *Britons in Anglo-Saxon England*, N. Higham (ed.) [Publications of the Manchester Centre for Anglo-Saxon Studies 7], 215–230. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Pestell, T. *Landscapes of Monastic Foundation: The Establishment of Religious Houses in East Anglia, c. 650–1200* [Anglo-Saxon Studies 5]. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Probert, D. 2007. “Mapping early medieval language change in south-west England.” In *Britons in Anglo-Saxon England*, N. Higham (ed.) [Publications of the Manchester Centre for Anglo-Saxon Studies 7], 231–244. Woodbridge: Boydell.
- Richards, M., Capelli, C. and Wilson, J.F. 2008. “Genetics and the origins of the British population.” In *Encyclopedia of Life Sciences*. DOI: 10.1002/9780470015902.a0020804. Chichester: Wiley.
- Rivet, A.L.F. and Smith, C. 1979. *The Place-Names of Roman Britain*. London: Batsford.
- Rowland, J. 1990. *Early Welsh Saga Poetry: A Study and Edition of the ‘Englynion’*. Cambridge: Brewer.
- Smith, C. 1979. “Romano-British place-names in Bede.” In *Anglo-Saxon Studies in Archaeology and History I*, S.C. Hawkes, D. Brown and J. Campbell (eds) [British Archaeological Reports, British Series 72], 1–19. Oxford: British Archaeological Reports.
- . 1980. “The survival of Romano-British toponymy.” *Nomina* 4: 27–40.
- Tristram, H.L.C. von (ed.). 1997–2003. *The Celtic Englishes* [Anglistische Forschungen 247, 286, 324]. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Watts, Victor (ed.). 2004. *The Cambridge Dictionary of English Place-Names Based on the Collections of the English Place-Name Society*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wollmann, A. 1990. “Lateinisch-Altenglische Lehnbeziehungen im 5. und 6. Jahrhundert.” In *Britain 400–600*, A. Bammesberger and A. Wollmann (eds) [Anglistische Forschungen 205], 373–396. Heidelberg: Winter.